



## CHECK LIST FOR REVIEW OF DELIVERABLES<sup>1</sup>

Deliverable title	Study visit report UNIME
Work package	3.2 Study visits and analysis of courses best practices in EU countries
Author	Kurt Glock (BOKU)
Deliverable Date	21 September 2017

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

"This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein"

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This questionnaire concerns quality issues of NatRisk upon completion of each deliverable. Reviewer appointed by QAC completes the form and submits it by email to WP leader, Task leader and Project Coordinator (natriskuni@gmail.com). The achieved results will be useful for the project's risk management. They will also make part of the QAC final report, as well as of the Coordinator's progress and final reporting to EACEA.





Assurance point	Issues to be addressed	Assessment	Comments	Recommendations
Compliance	Does the			
Compliance with NatRisk objectives	deliverable comply	Xes Yes		
Matrisk objectives	with the overall	□ No		
	objectives of the			
	project?	☐ Partially		
Compliance with the	Does the			
specfic objectives of	deliverable comply	∑ Yes		
the WP	with the WP	□ No		
	Objectives as	Partially		
	specified in the WP	Tartiany		
	description?			
Correspondence	Does the			
with the description	deliverable	∑ Yes		
of work of the	correspond with	∐ No		
relevant activity	the activity	Partially		
	description as			
	specified in the			
0 11 1:1	Application Form?			
Compliance with	<i>Is the deliverable</i>	X Yes		
the deliverables	presented using the	□ No		
format	Project's			
	deliverable format – Annex A?	Partially		
Adequacy of	Examples of			
complementary	complementary	∑ Yes		
information	info:	No		
1111 01111111111111	- Sources used,	Partially		
	- Bibliography,			
	- List of contacts,			
	- Methodology used.			
Adequacy of	Level of written	Excellent		
written language	English			
		Adequate		
		Poor		
Overall assessment				
and suggestions for				
improvement				
Deadline for submiss	ion of amended vers	sion of the deliv	erable	